



**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) **NO. 2:19-cr-00055-MWF-13**
)
 Plaintiff,)
)
 v.) **ORDER OF DETENTION AFTER**
) **HEARING**
)
 DAVID VASQUEZ,)
)
 Defendant.) **[Fed. R. Crim. P. 32.1(a)(6);**
) **18 U.S.C. § 3143(a)]**
)
 _____)

The defendant having been arrested in Los Angeles, California pursuant to a warrant issued by the United States District Court for the Central District of California for alleged violation of the terms and conditions of the defendant's supervised release; and

The Court, having conducted a detention hearing pursuant to Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 32.1(a)(6) and 18 U.S.C. § 3143(a), finds that:

\\

\\

\\

\\

1 A. (X) The defendant has not met the defendant's burden of establishing by clear and
2 convincing evidence that the defendant is not likely to flee if released under 18 U.S.C. §
3 3142(b) or (c). This finding is based on the defendant's failure to proffer sufficient evidence
4 to meet the defendant's burden on this issue.

5 and

6 B. (X) The defendant has not met the defendant's burden of establishing by clear and
7 convincing evidence that the defendant is not likely to pose a danger to the safety of any other
8 person or the community if released under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(b) or (c). This finding is based
9 on the defendant's failure to proffer sufficient evidence to meet the defendant's burden on this
10 issue; criminal history; and history of substance abuse.

11
12 IT THEREFORE IS ORDERED that the defendant be detained pending the further
13 revocation proceedings.

14
15 DATED: August 25, 2025



HON. KAREN L. STEVENSON
CHIEF U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE